

SNUTP-99-012

KIAS-P99015

hep-th/9903095

Massless Monopoles and Multi-Pronged Strings

*Kimyeong Lee*¹

*Physics Department and Center for Theoretical Physics
Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea*

We investigate the role of massless magnetic monopoles in the $N = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills Higgs theories. They can appear naturally in the 1/4-BPS dyonic configurations associated with multi-pronged string configurations. Massless magnetic monopoles can carry nonabelian electric charge when their associated gauge symmetry is unbroken. Surprisingly, massless monopoles can also appear even when the gauge symmetry is broken to abelian subgroups.

¹Electronic Mail: kimyeong@phya.snu.ac.kr

Recently there has been several activities in trying to understand multi-pronged strings ending on parallel D3 branes in the type IIB string theory [1]. 1/2 BPS monopoles and W-bosons in $N = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories appear naturally as D-strings and fundamental strings connecting parallel D3 branes in type IIB string theory [2]. 1/4-BPS multi-pronged string webs have a natural interpretation in the $N=4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories: they correspond to the configurations of many dyons separated in some distance, balanced under the electromagnetic Coulomb force and more complicated Higgs force [3]. When there are several dyons, the configuration can be spherically symmetric for some cases and more explicit field configuration can be obtained [4]. The number of zero modes of these configurations is somewhat complicated [5, 1], than the 1/2 BPS monopole case [6]

For 1/4-BPS configurations of dyons, only two independent components of six Higgs fields in $N = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory get involved [3]. In string picture, the eigenvalues of the vacuum values of six Higgs fields indicate the positions of D2 branes on the transverse 6-dimensional space. The 1/4-BPS configurations lies on a plane, forming a string web [1]. One component of the Higgs fields determines the masses of magnetic monopoles, and some of them can be massless. As two independent Higgs asymptotic values determine the symmetry breaking pattern, the gauge symmetry corresponding to the massless monopole can be unbroken or broken. When the gauge symmetry for the massless monopoles is not broken, they can not exist in isolation. But in presence of other massive magnetic monopoles, their presence can be felt. Especially they can carry nonabelian electric charge. When the gauge symmetry is completely broken, the corresponding massless monopoles will become massive in isolation. Thus, their appearance seems mysterious.

In this paper, we investigate in detail the physics of such massless monopoles in 1/4-BPS dyonic configurations by employing a simple model. The simple model we study is based on the configurations for three distinct monopoles when the gauge symmetry is broken from $SU(4)$ to $U(1) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ or $U(1)^3$. We consider this model because it is easy to construct the BPS configurations by the ADHMN method [7] and the partially broken limit of the configuration can be easily explored.

There are several fascinating problems associated with monopoles when the unbroken gauge symmetry has a nonabelian subgroup. When massive magnetic monopoles carry nonabelian magnetic charge, it is well known that one cannot define the electric nonabelian transformations globally around them, which is called ‘the global color problem’ [8]. The main reason behind it is that the inertia for some of global gauge transformations become infinite. This is associated with the fact that the corresponding zero modes are nonnormalizable. When there are several magnetic monopoles so that the net magnetic charge is purely abelian, then one can define the global color

transformations without such obstruction.

There is also the issue of massless monopoles. When the gauge symmetry is partially restored from abelian group to nonabelian group, some of magnetic monopoles become massless. The idea of such monopoles in isolation is meaningless: their size becomes infinite and their field strength vanishes. However, it is known now that these massless monopoles can leave some footprints when one start with several other monopoles [9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. The physics of massless monopoles becomes especially simpler when the net magnetic charge remain abelian. In this case massless monopoles form magnetic cloud surrounding massive magnetic monopoles, and so the net magnetic charge is abelian. In the massless limit, the dimension of moduli space does not change, but the characteristics of moduli parameters for massless monopoles changes drastically. Some of them describe the orbit of unbroken electric gauge transformations, and the rest do the cloud shape parameters [9]. When there are several identical massless monopoles, some of them can be taken to the infinity, making the net magnetic charge to be nonabelian. In this case the global charge is not well defined, but there will be still some remaining cloud parameters.

When we consider a single Higgs field case, the magnetic monopole configuration describes 1/2-BPS states. The moduli space dynamics of the zero modes of three distinct fundamental monopoles, when $SU(4)$ is broken to $U(1)^3$, has been studied in dynamics detail in the moduli space approximation [14]. Also, the moduli space of these monopoles when one for the middle root of the Dynkin diagram becomes massless has been studied [9]. More recently, the detail field configuration of such a system [13] have been obtained by using the ADHMN construction [7]. Its salient feature is that four zero mode parameters for the massless monopole are composed of three $SU(2)$ gauge orbit parameters and one gauge invariant parameter. The nonabelian cloud surrounding the massive monopoles takes an ellipsoid shape, at whose two focal points lie two massive monopoles. The size of the ellipsoid is the gauge invariant parameter.

As shown in Ref. [3], 1/4-BPS configurations for dyons have only two relevant components of six Higgs fields, ϕ_I , $I = 1, \dots, 6$. The BPS energy bound is saturated when the several BPS equations are satisfied. They can be put into two BPS equations,

$$B_i = D_i b \cdot \phi, \quad (1)$$

$$D_i^2 a \cdot \phi - [b \cdot \phi, [b \cdot \phi, a \cdot \phi]] = 0, \quad (2)$$

where a_I and b_I are two orthonormal vectors in 6 dimensions and decided by the electric and magnetic charge vectors,

$$Q_I^M = 2 \int d^3x \partial_i (\text{tr} B_i \phi_I), \quad (3)$$

$$Q_I^E = 2 \int d^3x \partial_i (\text{tr} E_i \phi_I). \quad (4)$$

It was shown that the the second BPS equation is identical to the unbroken gauge zero modes for the first BPS equation. The first equation is solved in Ref. [13]. To solve the second equation, we follow the method in Ref. [3].

To start, we assume that the asymptotic value of $b \cdot \phi$ is diagonalized to be

$$b \cdot \phi(\infty) = \text{diag}(h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4) = \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{H}, \quad (5)$$

where we choose the gauge so that $h_1 < h_2 < h_3 < h_4$. The diagonal values are the coordinate of D3 branes along the b_I direction. In this case the gauge symmetry is spontaneously broken to $U(1)^3$. There exists a unique set of unit length simple roots, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ such that their inner product with $\mathbf{h}$ in the root space is positive definite. We can choose the order so that

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{H} = \text{diag}(-1/2, 1/2, 0, 0), \quad (6)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{H} = \text{diag}(0, -1/2, 1/2, 0), \quad (7)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{H} = \text{diag}(0, 0, -1/2, 1/2). \quad (8)$$

The masses of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}$, and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ monopoles are 4π times

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 &= h_2 - h_1, \\ \mu_2 &= h_3 - h_2, \\ \mu_3 &= h_4 - h_3, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

respectively. (Here we put the gauge coupling constant to be unity.)

We consider three distinct fundamental monopoles, whose magnetic charge is

$$\mathbf{g} = 4\pi(\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}). \quad (10)$$

In the limit $\mu_2 = 0$, the electric gauge symmetry is partially restored to $U(1) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$. In this limit the $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ monopole becomes massless and the above magnetic charge remain abelian as $\mathbf{g} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} = 0$. From the first BPS equation, the magnetic charge is related to the asymptotic form of $b \cdot \phi$,

$$b \cdot \phi = b \cdot \phi(\infty) - \frac{\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{H}}{4\pi r} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right). \quad (11)$$

Similarly, the electric charge

$$\mathbf{q} = q_\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} + q_\beta \boldsymbol{\beta} + q_\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \quad (12)$$

is related to the asymptotic form of $a \cdot \phi$,

$$a \cdot \phi = a \cdot \phi(\infty) - \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{H}}{4\pi r} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right). \quad (13)$$

One goal of this paper is to find the electric charge $\mathbf{q}$ for the given magnetic charge configuration and $a \cdot \phi(\infty)$. The asymptotic values $b \cdot \phi(\infty)$ and $a \cdot \phi(\infty)$ are the coordinate values of D3 branes along the orthogonal directions b_I and a_I .

The field configurations satisfying the first BPS equation has been obtained by Weinberg and Yi in Ref. [13]. Let us review briefly this derivation for the later sake. For this purpose, we put the position of three monopoles at

$$\mathbf{r}_\alpha = (0, 0, -\frac{\mu_3}{M}R), \quad (14)$$

$$\mathbf{r}_\beta = (r \sin \theta, 0, r \cos \theta), \quad (15)$$

$$\mathbf{r}_\gamma = (0, 0, \frac{\mu_1}{M}R), \quad (16)$$

where $M = \mu_1 + \mu_3$. The origin coincides with the position of the center of mass. The relative positions of the β monopole with respect to two massive monopoles are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_L &= \mathbf{r}_\beta - \mathbf{r}_\alpha, \\ \mathbf{y}_R &= \mathbf{r}_\beta - \mathbf{r}_\gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

We call their magnitude y_L, y_R . In the limit where β monopole becomes massless, the unbroken $SU(2)$ gauge invariant parameters are

$$R = |\mathbf{r}_\alpha - \mathbf{r}_\gamma|, \quad (18)$$

$$D = y_L + y_R. \quad (19)$$

R is the distance between two massive monopoles and D is the cloud parameter. For a given D , the position of the massless monopole becomes two dimensional ellipsoidal surface. As shown in Ref. [13], the nonabelian component of magnetic charge becomes dipole-like outside this ellipsoid. Thus our configuration has a nonabelian ellipsoidal cloud surrounding the Coulomb like nonabelian source such that the total configuration is completely abelian. One could regard that the nonabelian cloud as a reminiscent of the confining string of quarks in a meson of QCD. Here it does not give a linear potential, but neutralizes in the color magnetic charge.

From the asymptotic value of $b \cdot \phi$, we see three intervals $[h_1, h_2]$, $[h_2, h_3]$ and $[h_3, h_4]$ for the parameter t of the Nahm equation. The Nahm data, which is the solution of the Nahm equation, is

$$\mathbf{T}(t) = \begin{cases} -\mathbf{r}_\alpha & \text{for } t \in (h_1, h_2), \\ -\mathbf{r}_\beta & \text{for } t \in (h_2, h_3), \\ -\mathbf{r}_\gamma & \text{for } t \in (h_3, h_4). \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

In the Nahm data, there are also two complex row vectors

$$\mathbf{a}_1 = \sqrt{2y_L}(\cos \frac{\theta_L}{2}, \sin \frac{\theta_L}{2}) \quad \text{at } t = h_2, \quad (21)$$

$$\mathbf{a}_2 = \sqrt{2y_R}(\cos \frac{\theta_R}{2}, \sin \frac{\theta_R}{2}) \quad \text{at } t = h_3, \quad (22)$$

such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{tr } \sigma^i \mathbf{a}_1^\dagger \mathbf{a}_1 = \mathbf{y}_L = y_L(\sin \theta_L, 0, \cos \theta_L), \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{tr } \sigma^i \mathbf{a}_2^\dagger \mathbf{a}_2 = -\mathbf{y}_R = y_R(\sin \theta_R, 0, \cos \theta_R), \quad (24)$$

with $0 \leq \theta_L, \theta_R < 2\pi$.

The ADHMN equation [7] for constructing $b \cdot \phi$ is

$$\left[-\frac{d}{dt} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{T}(t) + \mathbf{r}) \right] v(t) + \mathbf{a}_2^\dagger S_2 \delta(t - h_2) + \mathbf{a}_3^\dagger S_3 \delta(t - h_3) = 0, \quad (25)$$

where $v(t)$ is a 2×4 matrix and S_2, S_3 are 4-dimensional row vectors. Sometimes, we regard $v(t)$ and S_2, S_3 as four independent solutions of the above equation. The normalization condition is

$$I_{4 \times 4} = \int_{h_1}^{h_4} dt v^\dagger(t) v(t) + S_2^\dagger S_2 + S_3^\dagger S_3. \quad (26)$$

There are four independent solutions of $v(t)$ and S_2, S_3 of Eq. (25), which form four columns. The first two independent solutions are continuous solutions with $S_2 = S_3 = 0$. In the limit $\mu_2 = 0$, $v(t)$ for two solutions can be put in a 2×2 matrix,

$$v(t) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(t)N^{-1/2} & \text{for } t \in (h_1, h_2), \\ f_3(t)N^{-1/2} & \text{for } t \in (h_3, h_4), \end{pmatrix} \quad (27)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(t) &= e^{(t-h_2)(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_\alpha) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}, \\ f_3(t) &= e^{(t-h_3)(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_\beta) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

and the normalization factor N is the sum

$$N = N_L + N_R, \quad (29)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} N_L &= \int_{h_1}^{h_2} dt f_1(t)^2, \\ N_R &= \int_{h_3}^{h_4} dt f_3(t)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

There are two additional independent solutions, which can be written together as a 2×2 matrix,

$$v(t) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(t)\eta_1, & t \in (h_1, h_2) \\ f_3(t)\eta_2, & t \in (h_3, h_4) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (31)$$

where

$$\eta_1 = -N_L^{-1}M[\mathbf{a}_2^\dagger S_2 + \mathbf{a}_3^\dagger S_3], \quad (32)$$

$$\eta_3 = N_R^{-1}M[\mathbf{a}_2^\dagger S_2 + \mathbf{a}_3^\dagger S_3], \quad (33)$$

with

$$M = N_L^{-1} + N_R^{-1}. \quad (34)$$

Here we regard the nonvanishing S_2, S_3 as two dimensional row vectors. They are fixed by normalization condition,

$$\delta^{\mu\nu} = S_i^\mu [\delta_{ij} + \mathbf{a}_i M \mathbf{a}_j^\dagger] S_j^\nu, \quad (35)$$

where $i, j = 2, 3$ and $\mu, \nu = 1, 2$ are column indices.

From these solutions for $v(t)$ and S_2 and S_3 , one can find the Higgs field of the first BPS equation by using the formula,

$$b \cdot \phi(\mathbf{r}) = \int_{h_1}^{h_4} dt t v^\dagger(t) v(t) + h_2 S_2^\dagger S_2 + h_3 S_3^\dagger S_3. \quad (36)$$

The characteristic of this field is discussed in Ref. [13]. We note here that this solution has the desired asymptotic form (11), once a further gauge transformation in $SU(4)$ is made.

The second BPS equation can be solved by finding the zero modes corresponding to the global part of the unbroken gauge group $U(1) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$. We use the strategy used in Ref. [3]. In our context we need to find an ordinary function $p(t)$ for a given traceless diagonal matrix $\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{H} = \text{diag}(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4)$. $p(t)$ satisfies the ordinary equation

$$\ddot{p}(t) - W(t)p(t) + \Lambda(t) = 0, \quad (37)$$

where

$$W(t) = \text{tr } {}_2\mathbf{a}_1^\dagger \mathbf{a}_1 \delta(t - h_2) + \text{tr } {}_2\mathbf{a}_2^\dagger \mathbf{a}_2 \delta(t - h_3), \quad (38)$$

$$\Lambda(t) = q_2 \text{tr } {}_2\mathbf{a}_1^\dagger \mathbf{a}_1 \delta(t - h_2) + q_3 \text{tr } {}_2\mathbf{a}_2^\dagger \mathbf{a}_2 \delta(t - h_3). \quad (39)$$

The boundary conditions for $p(t)$ are

$$p(h_1) = q_1 \quad \text{and} \quad p(h_4) = q_4. \quad (40)$$

This boundary conditions can be obtained easily by taking the limit of the additional monopole need for a single caloron to infinity [3].

In the limit $\mu_2 = 0$, the solution becomes considerably simplified. The solution is

$$p(t) = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 + b_1(t - h_1) & t \in (h_1, h_2) \\ q_1 + b_1\mu_1 + b_3(t - h_3) & t \in (h_3, h_4) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (41)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= \frac{1}{\Delta} \{ -(1 + 2\mu_3 D)q_1 + 2\mu_3(y_L q_2 + y_R q_3) + q_4 \}, \\ b_3 &= \frac{1}{\Delta} \{ -q_1 - 2\mu_1(y_L q_2 + y_R q_3) + (1 + 2\mu_1 D)q_4 \}, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

with

$$\Delta = \mu_1 + \mu_3 + 2\mu_1\mu_3 D. \quad (43)$$

Then the solution for the second BPS equation is

$$\Lambda(\mathbf{r}) = \int_{h_1}^{h_4} dt \, v(t)^\dagger p(t) v(t) + q_2 S_2^\dagger S_2 + q_3 S_3^\dagger S_3. \quad (44)$$

In this paper we are not interested in the detail structure of the second Higgs field in finite region, which is associated with the fact how D3 branes are deformed to have multi-pronged string shape. Instead we are interested in only the asymptotic behavior of the field $\Lambda(\mathbf{r})$. By taking the similar approach as in Ref. [13], we can get the asymptotic form

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\mathbf{r}) &= \text{diag}(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2r} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y_L(c - q_2 + q_3) & \sqrt{y_L y_R} c \cos \frac{\theta_L - \theta_R}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{y_L y_R} c \cos \frac{\theta_L - \theta_R}{2} & y_R(c + q_2 - q_3) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -b_3 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where $c = 2q_1 + 2\mu_1 b_1 - q_2 - q_3$. (The asymptotic behavior at spatial infinity can be calculated along a particular direction, say, along a region where $e^{\mu_1 y_L}/y_L \gg e^{\mu_3 y_R}/y_R$. In this region, terms with vanishing entry is of order $1/r^2$ or exponentially small.) One can easily show that this hermitian matrix is traceless. This is the asymptotic form of the general solution for the second BPS equation.

There are three independent choices of the charge $\text{diag}(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4)$ such that $\sum_i q_i = 0$, which lead to three independent solutions, $\Lambda_1(\mathbf{r})$, $\Lambda_2(\mathbf{r})$, $\Lambda_3(\mathbf{r})$ for the second BPS equation. $a \cdot \phi$ is in general a linear combination of these three solutions. For simplicity, we consider three cases where $a \cdot \phi$ to be proportional to Λ_i for each $i = 1, 2, 3$.

First one is the obvious one,

$$\Lambda_1 = b \cdot \phi(\mathbf{r}). \quad (46)$$

In this case the asymptotic value $\Lambda_1(\infty) \sim b \cdot \phi(\infty)$, so that four $D3$ branes will lie on a line. The electric charge will be proportional to the total magnetic charge. The configuration becomes 1/2-BPS rather than 1/4-BPS.

The second case appears with the gauge symmetry being still broken to $U(1) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$. However, parallel $D3$ branes do not lie on a line. In this case, the asymptotic form is

$$\Lambda_2 = \text{diag}(2\mu_3, -\mu_1 - \mu_3, -\mu_1 - \mu_3, 2\mu_1) - \frac{1}{4\pi r}(q_\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} + q_\beta \boldsymbol{\beta} + q_\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \cdot \mathbf{H} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right), \quad (47)$$

where

$$q_\alpha = \frac{8\pi}{\Delta} \{\mu_1 - \mu_3 - (\mu_1 + 3\mu_3)\mu_3 D\}, \quad (48)$$

$$q_\beta = \frac{4\pi}{\Delta} \{2(\mu_1 - \mu_3) + 3(\mu_1^2 - \mu_3^2)D \pm (3\mu_1^2 + 2\mu_1\mu_3 + 3\mu_3^2)R\}, \quad (49)$$

$$q_\gamma = \frac{8\pi}{\Delta} \{\mu_1 - \mu_3 + (3\mu_1 + \mu_3)\mu_1 D\}. \quad (50)$$

The electric charges depend only on the $SU(2)$ gauge invariant parameters D and R . There is a global part of the unbroken local $SU(2)$ gauge group, which exchanges two middle diagonal components. Under this, the sign of the R term in q_β changes. (Notice also that both $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ electric charges are not invariant under the $SU(2)_\beta$ gauge transformations.)

When the cloud size is smallest so that $D = R$, q_β is equal to q_α or q_γ , depending on this sign. This is consistent with the view in Ref. [13] that the massless $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ monopole lies on the top of the $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ or $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ monopole in the minimum cloud size case. In the large D limit, there exist the critical amounts of electric charges. Similar to the $SU(3)$ case, when the electric charges exceed the critical amounts, there is no 1/4 BPS configurations because the electric repulsion overcomes the Higgs attraction.

The electric charge does not need to vanish even when all three monopoles are overlapped so that $D = R = 0$ unless their core sizes are identical $\mu_1 = \mu_3$. When two massive monopoles are overlapped so that $R = 0$, note that $\mathbf{q}$ is purely abelian as $\mathbf{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} = 0$.

The third case will be

$$\Lambda_3 = \text{diag}(0, -\mu_1 - \mu_3, \mu_1 + \mu_3, 0) - \frac{1}{4\pi r}\{q_\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} + q_\beta \boldsymbol{\beta} + q_\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}\} \cdot \mathbf{H} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right) \quad (51)$$

where

$$q_\alpha = -\frac{8\pi}{\Delta} \mu_3 (\mu_1 + \mu_3) (y_L - y_R) \quad (52)$$

$$q_\beta = \frac{8\pi}{\Delta} (\mu_1 + \mu_3) \{\mu_1 y_L + \mu_3 y_R + 4\mu_1 \mu_3 y_L y_R\} \quad (53)$$

$$q_\gamma = \frac{8\pi}{\Delta} \mu_1 (\mu_1 + \mu_3) (y_L - y_R) \quad (54)$$

As $a \cdot \phi \sim \Lambda_3$, the gauge symmetry is completely broken to $U(1)^3$. Hence, there are no massless monopoles in isolation. Naturally, there are two questions arising here. First is how to interpret the above result. Second is how to understand the existence of massless monopoles in the configuration.

We note that the electric charges given in the above equations depend on the position of the β monopole. While the β monopole appears massless in the example, its position cannot be transformed by unbroken abelian subgroup, contrast to the second case. However, the electric charges show the obvious symmetry along the rotation around the line connecting two massive monopoles, as it depends only on y_L, y_R .

The reason for the β monopole being massless seems obscure in the field theoretic picture, while it is much more clear in the string picture. Here, as one moves the positions of $D3$ branes or changes electric charge, two vectors b_I and a_I change. The genuine symmetry restoration occurs when two $D3$ branes overlap. On the other hand, a given magnetic monopole appears massless when the $b_I \phi_I$ components for two $D3$ branes have identical value. Thus the line connecting corresponding two $D3$ branes is perpendicular to the b_I vector.

To find why the β monopole can appear massless in the field theory picture, let us start from a configuration for three massive α , β and γ monopoles. When there are two Higgs expectation values which are not parallel, these monopoles are attracted to each other. Let us put some electric charges such that relative charges are not zero, and then three dyons have repulsive force between them. They will be settled, separated in some distance. Also the core of dyons gets larger. When electric charges are increasing, two vectors a_I and b_I are transforming. If the condition is right, it seems that any one of them appears massless as far as the first BPS equation is concerned. Our case corresponds to the case where the β monopole becomes massless.

However, we will argue that the α or γ monopoles cannot appear massless in a finite region in our case. For simplicity, consider just two α and β monopoles in the $SU(3)$ gauge theory. If the α monopole becomes massless, the configuration has nonzero nonabelian charge as far as the first BPS equation is concerned. This configuration, however, has no nonabelian cloud, and so the configuration for the first BPS equation is independent of the position of the α monopole [15]. It seems that the α monopole has disappeared. The solution to this paradox is that as far as we stay in BPS configurations, the α monopole can appear massless only when it goes to infinity. This can be easily seen from the string picture. This is the Bergman's instability [1] where the three pronged configuration becomes unstable. In the field theoretic term, the α electric charge (say without β electric charge) keeps α and β monopoles separated in finite distance. Once we change the asymptotic Higgs values so that the α monopole appears massless, the electric repulsion sends

the α monopole to infinity. However, we can always have a massive α monopole in finite distance once we consider non-BPS configurations.

Instead if we started with one α and two β monopoles, the α monopole can appear massless at a finite region. In this system, the net magnetic charge is abelian as far as the first BPS equation is concerned. The α monopole becomes nonabelian cloud surrounding the massive β monopoles. This is the case considered in Ref. [11]. The position of the massless monopole form an ellipsoid [12]. We expect that there are two independent solutions for the second BPS equations in this case and that the electric charges will depend on the the position of the apparently massless α monopole if the gauge symmetry $SU(3)$ is broken to $U(1)^2$. In string picture, one can see that the Bergman's instability does not set in. Two D strings will connect three D3 branes in the letter V shape, emerging from the middle D3 branes and the α monopole may appear massless because the b_I vector will emerge from the middle D3 brane to the middle point of the line connecting two end D3 branes. F strings will connect the two tip D3 branes. Two tip D3 branes have the same coordinates in $b_I\phi_I$ and so the α monopole appears massless. Note that the relation between BPS dyons in the field theory and D strings is not direct in 1/4-BPS configurations.

In this letter, we have analyzed the role of massless monopoles in 1/4-BPS configurations, which are associated with multipronged strings. One insight obtained from this is that the positions of massless monopoles can play the physical role. There are a few questions to remain. We do not have a good understanding of some cases. For example, consider a case where the gauge symmetry is maximally broken to abelian subgroup, and some of magnetic monopoles appear massless, but that the net magnetic charge is not purely abelian. If such a case is possible, the question is that the global color problem seems to appear. This may need more careful understanding of the massless limit [15]. There has been several works to understand the S-duality in case where there are nonabelian massive magnetic monopoles [16] and also the dual version of massless monopoles. Our work may be helpful in this direction. For this we need to understand how to quantize the classical configurations we have obtained. Recently, the new way of obtaining the electric charges has been obtained [17]. It would be nice to check our result by that method. Finally, we believe this work is a step toward to the understanding of the attractive forces between massive monopoles via massless monopoles.

Acknowledgments

I thank Dongsu Bak for useful discussions. This paper is done partially during the author's visit to Korean Institute for Advanced Study. This work was also supported by KOSEP 1998 Interdisciplinary Research Program and SRC program of SNU-CTP, and Ministry of Education

References

- [1] O. Bergman, hep-th/9712211, Nucl. Phys. **B525** (1998) 104; O. Bergman and B. Kol, hep-th/9804160, Nucl. Phys. **B536** (1998) 149.
- [2] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B460** (1996) 335; A.A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys **B469** (1996) 51; M.B. Green and M. Gutperle, Phys. Lett. **B377** (1996) 28.
- [3] K. Lee and P. Yi, hep-th/9804174, Phys. Rev. **D58** (1998) 066005.
- [4] K. Hashimoto, H. Hata and N. Sasakura, hep-th/9803127, Phys. Lett. **B431** (1998) 303; hep-th/9804164, Nucl.Phys. **B535** (1998) 83; T. Kawano and K. Okuyama, hep-th/9804139, Phys. Lett. **B432** (1998) 338.
- [5] D. Bak, K. Hashimoto, B-H. Lee, H. Min and N. Sasakura, *Moduli Space Dimensions of Multi-Pronged Strings*, hep-th/9901107.
- [6] E. Weinberg, Nucl. Phys. **B167** (1980) 500.
- [7] M.F. Atiyah, et.al., Phys. Lett. **185B** (1978) 185; W. Nahm, in *Monopoles in quantum field theory*, ed. N.S. Craigie et al. (World Scientific, Singapore, 1982).
- [8] A. Abouelsaood, Phys. Lett. **125B** (1983) 467; P. Nelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50** (1983) 939; P. Nelson and A. Manoha, *ibid.* **50** (1983) 943; A. Balachandran, et.al., *ibid.* **50** (1983) 1553; P. Nelson and S. Coleman, Nucl. Phys. **B237** (1984) 1.
- [9] K. Lee, E.J. Weinberg, and P.Yi, hep-th/9605229, Phys. Rev. **D 54** (1996) 6351.
- [10] E.J. Weinberg, Phys. Lett. **119B** (1982) 151.
- [11] A.S. Dancer, Commun. Math. Phys. **158** (1993) 545; Nonlinearity **5** (1992) 1355; A.S. Dancer and R.A. Leese, Proc. R. Soc. London. **A440** (1993) 421; Phys. Lett. **B 390** (1997) 252; P. Irwin, Phys. Rev. **D 56** (1997) 5200.
- [12] K. Lee and C. Lu, hep-th/9709080, Phys. Rev. **D 57** (1998) 5260.

- [13] E.J. Weinberg and P. Yi, hep-th/983164, Phys. Rev. **D 58** (1998) 046001.
- [14] K. Lee, E. Weinberg and P. Yi, hep-th/9602167, Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996) 2921.
- [15] C. Lu, hep-th/9806237, Phys. Rev. **D58** (1998) 125010.
- [16] B.J. Schroers and F.A. Bais, hep-th/9805163, Nucl. Phys. **B535** (1998) 197.
- [17] D. Tong, *A Note on $1/4$ -BPS States*, hep-th/9902005.